Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Suchada Chantrapromma,^a‡ Boonwasana Jindawong,^a Hoong-Kun Fun^b* and P. S. Patil^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand, ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^cDepartment of Studies in Physics, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri, Mangalore 574 199, India

‡ Additional correspondence author, email: suchada.c@psu.ac.th.

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.015 wR factor = 0.037 Data-to-parameter ratio = 31.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The title compound, $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2^+ \cdot I^- \cdot H_2O$, crystallizes in a non-cenrosymmetric space group and exhibits a non-linear optical property. The second-harmonic generation (SHG) efficiency is about 1.95 times that of urea. The cation is essentially planar. The water molecules and anions are linked into a chain along the *a* axis by $O_{water} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot I$ hydrogen bonds, and the cations are linked to it *via* $O - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O_{water}$ hydrogen bonds. In addition, $\pi - \pi$ and $C - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ interactions are observed.

2-[(E)-2-(3-Hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)ethenyl]-

1-methylquinolinium iodide monohydrate

Comment

The search for new non-linear optical (NLO) materials showing large macroscopic susceptibilities has attracted a great deal of attention owing to their interesting applications (Prasad & Williams, 1991; Chemla & Zyss, 1987). The most important criterion for a single crystal to exhibit second-order NLO properties is that the molecules have to be oriented in a non-centrosymmetric environment (Williams, 1984). In our continuing systematic study of NLO materials (Chantrapromma et al., 2005, 2006; Fun et al., 2006; Jindawong, Chantrapromma, Fun & Karalai, 2005; Jindawong, Chantrapromma, Fun, Yu & Karalai, 2005), we report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I). Compound (I) crystallizes in a non-centrosymmetric space group, P1, and exhibits a second harmonic generation (SHG) effect. Powder SHG measurement (Kurtz & Perry, 1968) of (I) showed a conversion efficiency 1.95 times that of a urea standard.



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of a $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2^+$ cation, an I⁻ anion and a water molecule (Fig. 1). The bond distances and angles in the cation have normal values (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and are comparable to those in related structures (Jindawong, Chantrapromma, Fun & Karalai, 2005; Chantrapromma *et al.*, 2006; Fun *et al.*, 2006). The cation is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.030 (1) Å for C2. The H atoms attached to C10=C11 are *trans* to each other; thus the cation exists in an *E* configuration [the C9–C10–C11–C12 torsion angle is 179.35 (11)°]. The dihedral angle between the benzene and quinolinium ring systems is 1.21 (5)°.

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 24 March 2007 Accepted 2 April 2007



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), showing 80% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering. The dashed line indicates an Owater-H···I hydrogen bond.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the b axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. For clarity, H atoms not involved in the hydrogen bonds have been omitted.

The crystal packing (Fig. 2) shows that the water molecules and anions are linked into a chain along the a axis by O_{water} — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot I$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1). The cations are linked to the anionic chains through O-H···O hydrogen bonds involving the hydroxyl group and water molecules. Along the chain, the N1/C1/C6-C9 pyridine ring (centroid Cg1) and the C12-C17 benzene ring (centroid Cg2) of the adacent cations are stacked with a $Cg1\cdots Cg2(-1 + x, y, z)$ distance of 3.6305 (7) Å, indicating $\pi - \pi$ interactions. The adjacent chains are crosslinked via $C-H \cdots O$ interactions (Table 1).

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared by the condensation reaction of 1,2dimethylquinolinium iodide (3.0 g, 10.05 mmol) and isovanillin (1.60 g, 10.05 mmol) in the presence of piperidine (1.00 ml, 10.00 mmol) in methanol (40 ml). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solid formed was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and recrystallized from methanol to obtain block-shaped yellow single crystals of (I) (m.p. 491-493 K).

Crystal data

$C_{19}H_{18}NO_2^+ \cdot I^- \cdot H_2O$	$\gamma = 87.720 \ (1)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 437.26$	V = 440.97 (2) Å ³
Triclinic, P1	Z = 1
a = 6.2412 (1) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 8.2854 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.83 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.1961 (2) Å	T = 100.0 (1) K
$\alpha = 68.134 \ (1)^{\circ}$	$0.55 \times 0.50 \times 0.41 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 89.424 \ (1)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX II CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS: Bruker, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.433, \ T_{\max} = 0.524$ (expected range = 0.390 - 0.472)

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.015$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.037$	independent and constrained
S = 1.09	refinement
7236 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
231 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
4 restraints	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
	3382 Friedel pairs
	Flack parameter: 0.012 (7)

17774 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$

7236 independent reflections

7233 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1

H	lyd	rogen-	bond	geome	etry	(A,	°)).
---	-----	--------	------	-------	------	-----	----	----

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$		
$02-H1O2\cdots O1W^{i}$ $01W-H1W\cdots I1^{ii}$ $01W-H2W\cdots I1$ $C3-H3\cdots O2^{iii}$	0.82 (3) 0.89 (6) 0.84 (4) 0.93	1.92 (3) 2.77 (5) 2.78 (4) 2.51	2.7086 (15) 3.6584 (12) 3.6005 (12) 3.1840 (16)	163 (4) 174 (5) 170 (4) 129		
Summatry adday (i) $x + 1$ $y = +1$; (ii) $x - 1$ $y = x$; (iii) $x - 2$ $y = 1$						

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) x - 2, y - 1, z.

The hydroxyl and water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. After checking their presence in a difference map, the C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.93 or 0.96 Å) and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(methyl C)$. A rotating group model was used for the methyl groups. As the refinement resulted in a very short H10···H18C contact of 1.78 Å, the H10 \cdots H18C distance was restrained to 1.93 (1) Å. The highest residual electron density peak is located 0.69 Å from atom I1.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: APEX2; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

Financial support from the Center for Innovation in Chemistry: Postgraduate Education and Research Program in Chemistry (PERCH-CIC), Commission on Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Thailand is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also thank the Prince of Songkla University, the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for Scientific Advancement Grant Allocation (SAGA) No. 304/ PFIZIK/653003/A118.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2 (Version 1.27), SAINT (Version 7.12a) and SADABS (Version 2004/1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chantrapromma, S., Jindawong, B., Fun, H.-K., Anjum, S. & Karalai, C. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o2096-o2098.

- Chantrapromma, S., Jindawong, B., Fun, H.-K., Patil, P. S. & Karalai, C. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 01802–01804.
- Chemla, D. S. & Zyss, J. (1987). Nonlinear Optical Properties of Organic Molecules and Crystals, pp. 32–198. New York: Academic Press.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A**39**, 876–881.
- Fun, H.-K., Rodwatcharapiban, P., Jindawong, B. & Chantrapromma, S. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 02725–02727.
- Jindawong, B., Chantrapromma, S., Fun, H.-K. & Karalai, C. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o3237–o3239.
- Jindawong, B., Chantrapromma, S., Fun, H.-K., Yu, X.-L. & Karalai, C. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* E**61**, 01340–01342.
- Kurtz, S. K. & Perry, T. T. (1968). J. Appl. Phys. 39, 3798-3813.
- Prasad, P. N. & Williams, D. J. (1991). Introduction to Nonlinear Optical Effects in Organic Molecules and Polymers, pp. 283–307. New York: Wiley.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Williams, D. (1984). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 23, 690-703.